

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,044	02/25/2002	David Kammer	PALM-3745	3128
7:	590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			SWARTHOUT, BRENT	
Third Floor Two North Mai	rket Street		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113			2636	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/083,044	KAMMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brent A Swarthout	2636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).	BEST			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			AVAILABLE			
			m			
Attachment(s)	_		SS			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		COPY			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa)-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/083,044 Page 2

Art Unit: 2636

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- a. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wecker et al.
 - i. Wecker discloses a method for receiving information comprising transmitting a communication signal from a first electronic device 14 to a second electronic device 10 using a wireless communication protocol (column 12, line 35), the second device having processor 20 and wireless communication device 27, the processor being in sleep mode while device 27 is awake (col. 7, lines 47-54), receiving signal at device 27, responsive to input signal by triggering an interrupt to wake processor 20 (col.8, line 20) and to open a previously closed communication channel (col. 10, lines 22-29), and to store the communication signal (col. 8, lines 17-36).

Since Wecker teaches that processor 20 does not receive incoming messages until activated by receiving device 27, and that an interrupt is provided when a message of high priority is received in order to load serial port driver (col.10, lines 22-28), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to open the communication port to the processor in order that the message could have been received at the processor, it being noted that a

Art Unit: 2636

port is a well known means for permitting messages to be transmitted to electronic processing equipment.

Regarding claim 2, Wecker teaches use of small handheld personal computing means (col.1, line 25), including PDAs (col.1, line 30).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, using well known IR or Bluetooth transmissions would have been obvious, since these are standard transmission mediums for communicating with portable electronic devices.

Regarding claims 6-8, Wecker teaches use of a trusted list which can be changed or created by processor (col. 11, line 56-col.12, line 10).

Regarding claim 9, Wecker teaches use of serial port (col.10, line 28).

Regarding claim 10, use of a USB port in a computing device for providing communications to a user is well known in the art.

Regarding claim 11, Wecker teaches notification of storage and whether a stored communication was successfully completely stored (col.8, lines 17-35).

Regarding claim 26, Wecker teaches desirability of having a mobile computing device be a paging device (col.1, line 62).

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 10/083,044 Page 4

Art Unit: 2636

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cooper, Grevious, Freed, Holcman, Cross and Silvkoff disclose communication device systems.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brent A Swarthout whose telephone number is 703-305-4383. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass, can be reached on 703-305-4717. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Brent Sugution **Brent A Swarthout** Examiner

Art Unit 2636

BRENT A. SWARTHOUT PRIMARY EXAMINER